

Solving the Dynamic Ambulance Dispatching & Relocation Problem using Approximate Dynamic Programming

Dr. Verena Schmid





mathematical problem formulation

problem description & motivation

- faced by Emergency Service Providers (ESPs)
 - manage fleet of ambulances
 - reach patients in case of emengency asap

fundamental decisions

- ambulance location
- dispatching
- reinsertion
- relocate

where?
which?
where next?
where else?

Q1: where to locate ambulances?

• optimize coverage

- areas/patients reachable
- within given time limit



Q1: where to locate ambulances?

using real street network



Q1: where to locate ambulances?

• using time dependent travelling times



Q2: which ambulance shall be sent?

- dispatching
 - immediate response time!
 - future response times?



Q3: where to send ambulance next?

- reinsertion
 - determine next waiting location
 - future response times!



Q4: send ambulances somewhere else?

relocation

- determine new waiting location
- future response times!



1st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

dispatching & reinsertion process







11 of 32

basic notation

states

 capture current situation (ambulances + requests) 	$S_t (R_t, D_t)$
• decisions	
 made dynamically over time 	x _t
 immediate contribution 	C(S _t ,x _t)
 decisions have a downstream impact on future 	
 need estimate for value of being in a state 	$V_t (S_t)$
 sources of randomness 	
 requests, durations 	W _t
 dynamic evolution 	
$S_{t+1} = S^M(S_t, x_t, W_{t+1})$	

optimization

- myopic policy
 - optimize wrt immediate contribution

 $V_t(S_t) = \min_{x_t} C(S_t, x_t)$

optimize underlying stochastic problem



state (in more detail)

resources (ambulances)

- attribute vector
- resource state vector
- demand (requests)
 - attribute vector
 - demand state vector

• state

$$R_t = (R_{ta})_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$$
$$b_t \in \mathcal{B}$$

 $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$

$$D_t = (D_{tb})_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$$
$$S_t = (R_t, D_t)$$

decision

- elementary decisions
- decision variable

$$d \in \mathcal{D}$$
$$x_t = (x_{tad})_{a \in \mathcal{A}, d \in \mathcal{D}}$$

states (example)

- resource state
 - idle ambulances
 current location
 - available since
 - busy ambulances next location available next
- demand state
 - location
 - arrival time
 - priority



15 of 32

t = 10:30am

model (constraints)

flow conservation on request

at most one idle ambulance can be dispatched to any request

for ambulances

only idle ambulances can be dispatched

ambulances just becoming idle have to be dispatched or relocated

status of busy ambulances cannot be changed

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t^i} x_{tad} \le D_{tb_d} \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}^D$$

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}^D} x_{tad} \leq R_{ta} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_t^i$$

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}^D \cup \mathcal{D}^R} x_{tad} = R_{ta} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_t^{i+}$$

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} x_{tad} = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_t^b$$

1st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

16 of 32





dynamic programming

stochastic optimization problem

$$V_t(S_t) = \min_{x_t \in \mathcal{X}_t} C(S_t, x_t) + \mathbb{E}\{V_{t+1}(S_{t+1}(S_t, x_t, W_{t+1}))\}$$

• basic idea

- recursive
- step backward in time

curses of dimensionality

- state vector S_t grows very quickly
- size of outcome space of random variable W_t
- size of decision vector x_t

 $egin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}| imes |\mathcal{B}| \ |\mathcal{A}| imes |\mathcal{B}| \ |\mathcal{A}| imes |\mathcal{D}| \end{aligned}$

approximate dynamic programming (ADP)

stochastic optimization problem

$$\hat{v}_t^n = \min_{x_t \in \mathcal{X}_t^n} (C(S_t^n, x_t) + \mathbb{E}\{\overline{V_{t+1}^{n-1}}(S_{t+1}(S_t^n, x_t, \hat{\omega}_{t+1}^n))\})$$

basic idea

- make decisions based on approximation of value function
- step forward in time (sample what might happen)
- iteratively. using a fresh set of sample realizations
- update value function approximation

 $\bar{V}_t^n(S_t) = (1 - \alpha_{n-1})\bar{V}_t^{n-1}(S_t^n) + \alpha_{n-1}\hat{v}_t^n$





20 of 32

data

real data

- street <u>network</u>: city of Vienna (1.7 mio inhabitants, 41.5 hectare)
- fleet of 14 ambulance vehicles, 16 locations

requests

- average # of 89.24 emergencies per day
- volume itself highly dependent on time of day
- exponentially distributed <u>interarrival</u> times
- spatial poisson process based on distribution of origins

road network & waiting locations





1st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

interarrival times



23 of 32

origin & destination of requests





1st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

experiment setup

experiment setup

- training phase (10⁵ iterations)
 - fixed step size $\alpha = 0.2$
 - temporal (spatial) aggregation parameter $\phi t = \phi s = 4$
 - sampled data from estimated distributions
- 5 independent test runs

first training: 10⁵ iterations

2 decisions

adp

- which vehicle to dispatch
 - where to relocate?
- benchmark policies
 - relocate to home location
 - relocate to closest location
 - relocate to random location

4.51 min(current strategy) 4.61 min(naïve strategy) 5.12 min 4.05 min



1st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

relax this assumption

- 2 decisions
 - which vehicle to dispatch
 - where to relocate?
- benchmark policy
 - relocate to home location
- adp
 - send closest
 - send any



4.60 min(current strategy)

4.05 min 4.01 min



response times over course of day

average response time (over day)



¹st International Workshop on Planning of Emergency Services :: Amsterdam :: Dr. Verena Schmid :: June 25-27, 2014

28 of 32





conclusion

- contribution
 - formulated a dynamic model for the ambulance dispatching and relocation model
 - solved using ADP
 - **outperformed** benchmark policies (random/naïve/current)
 - pays off to deviate from current dispatching rules (13%)
 - consider other vehicles (not just closest one) for dispatching
 - relocate vehicles adequately after finishing service
 - relocate vehicles empty to cope with current situation

European Journal of Operational Research 219 (2012) 611-621



Solving the dynamic ambulance relocation and dispatching problem using approximate dynamic programming

Verena Schmid

Department of Business Administration, University of Vienna, Bruenner Strasse 72, 1210 Vienna, Austria

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Article history Emergency service providers are supposed to locate ambulances such that in case of emergency patients Available online 10 November 2011 can be reached in a time-efficient manner. Two fundamental decisions and choices need to be made realtime. First of all immediately after a request emerges an appropriate vehicle needs to be dispatched and Keywords: send to the requests' site. After having served a request the vehicle needs to be relocated to its next wait-OR in health services ing location. We are going to propose a model and solve the underlying optimization problem using Emergency vehicles approximate dynamic programming (ADP), an emerging and powerful tool for solving stochastic and Ambulance location dynamic problems typically arising in the field of operations research. Empirical tests based on real data Approximate dynamic programming from the city of Vienna indicate that by deviating from the classical dispatching rules the average Stochastic optimization response time can be decreased from 4.60 to 4.01 minutes, which corresponds to an improvement of 12.89%. Furthermore we are going to show that it is essential to consider time-dependent information such as travel times and changes with respect to the request volume explicitly. Ignoring the current time and its consequences thereafter during the stage of modeling and optimization leads to suboptimal decisions. © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and related work

Emergency service providers are supposed to locate ambulances such that in case of emergency patients can be reached in a time-efficient manner. Two fundamental decisions and choices need to be made real-time. First of all immediately after a request emerges an appropriate vehicle needs to be *dispatched* and send to the requests' site. Ambulances, when idle, are located at designated waiting sites. Hence after having served a request the vehicle needs to be *relocated* (i.e. its next waiting site has to be chosen). For a close match to reality, time-dependent information for both traveling times and the request volume will be considered explicitly. We cardiac and circulatory arrest the chances for a resuscitation to be successful decrease dramatically. Typically chances decrease by 10% per minute as long as the patient is not treated accordingly. Providing a quick response to emergency requests is crucial for the patients' state of health.

The contribution of this paper is threefold.

- (i) We propose a stochastic dynamic model for the ambulance relocation and dispatching problem, which will be solved by means of ADP.
- (ii) In order to get a preferably accurate model of reality we will explicitly take into account time-dependent information and





Dr. Verena Schmid

Europa Universität Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder) | Information & Operations Management (IOM)

Lehrstuhl für Betriebswirtschaftslehre, insbesondere Supply Chain Management

+49 335 5534 2254 | 🖃 vschmid@europa-uni.de

The h://www.wiwi.europa-uni.de/de/lehrstuhl/iom/scm/index.html